



A Short Note on the Classical Hardy Inequality and Its Applications to Sobolev Spaces

O. P. Durojaye

Department of Mathematics, Federal University of Technology Ilaro (FUTI),
Ilaro, Ogun State, Nigeria.

Corresponding author:
Email: opidi2000@gmail.com

Abstract

The Hardy inequality is among the most influential inequalities in mathematical analysis, with significant applications in functional analysis, partial differential equations, Sobolev spaces, and numerical methods. This paper presents a concise overview of the classical Hardy inequality, outlines its foundational proof, discusses its intrinsic relationship with Sobolev spaces, and highlights selected applications in computational mathematics. A weighted extension of the inequality and a simple illustrative example are also presented to demonstrate its practical validity.

Keywords: Hardy inequality; Sobolev spaces; partial differential equations; weighted inequalities; numerical analysis.

1. Introduction

Mathematical inequalities are indispensable tools in modern analysis, serving as the bedrock for establishing bounds, proving convergence, and analyzing the stability of solutions to differential equations. Among the vast array of functional inequalities, the classical Hardy inequality stands out for its elegance and profound utility. Originally formulated in the early 20th century, it provides a fundamental bound on the integral of a function divided by the square of the distance to the origin, strictly in terms of the integral of its derivative.

The classical one-dimensional Hardy inequality states that for a sufficiently smooth function u vanishing at the origin, the following holds:

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{|u(x)|^2}{x^2} dx \leq 4 \int_0^\infty |u'(x)|^2 dx \quad (1)$$

This foundational result, first introduced by G.H. Hardy in 1920 and later generalized in the seminal text by Hardy, Littlewood, and Pólya [1], has become a cornerstone of harmonic analysis and functional analysis [5]. Its influence extends far beyond pure analysis, deeply impacting the theory of partial differential equations (PDEs), the study of Sobolev spaces, and the development of robust numerical methods. This short note reviews the classical inequality, explores its extensions, and highlights its ongoing relevance in both theoretical and computational mathematics.

2. Mathematical Preliminaries

To rigorously formulate the Hardy inequality and its generalizations, we must first establish the appropriate function spaces. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open domain. The Sobolev space $H^1(\Omega)$ (or more generally $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$) consists of functions $u \in L^2(\Omega)$ whose first-order weak derivatives also belong to $L^2(\Omega)$ [4]. The subspace $H_0^1(\Omega)$ is defined as the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ —the space of infinitely differentiable functions with compact support in Ω —with respect to the Sobolev norm. The concept of weak derivatives, essential for defining these spaces, is rigorously treated in the theory of weakly differentiable functions [7].

The Classical Hardy Inequality: In its simplest one-dimensional form, let $u \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty)$. The classical Hardy inequality asserts that:

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{|u(x)|^2}{x^2} dx \leq 4 \int_0^\infty |u'(x)|^2 dx \quad (2)$$

The constant 4 is optimal; it cannot be replaced by any smaller number, and equality is never achieved for non-trivial functions in the space. The standard proof relies on writing $u(x) = \int_0^x u'(t) dt$, applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, and utilizing a clever integration by parts (or Fubini's theorem) to extract the sharp constant [1, 5].

In higher dimensions ($n \geq 3$), for $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the inequality takes the form:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{|x|^2} dx \leq \frac{4(n-2)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx \quad (3)$$

The study of such inequalities as bounded operators between Lebesgue spaces is comprehensively detailed in the theory of Hardy operators and function space embeddings [2].

3. Applications to Sobolev Spaces and PDEs

The Hardy inequality is not merely an isolated result; it is deeply woven into the fabric of Sobolev space theory and the analysis of PDEs.

Sobolev Spaces and Embeddings: In the context of Sobolev spaces, the Hardy inequality provides a crucial tool for handling singular weights. Standard Sobolev embeddings (like the Sobolev inequality) control the L^q norm of a function by its gradient. However, when dealing with singular potentials, standard embeddings fail. The Hardy inequality bridges this gap by allowing the control of a function's L^2 norm against a singular weight ($\frac{1}{|x|^2}$) using only the L^2 norm of its gradient. This is vital for understanding the capacity of sets, fine properties of Sobolev functions, and borderline embedding theorems [3].

Partial Differential Equations: In PDE theory, the Hardy inequality is instrumental in analyzing elliptic equations with singular coefficients. Consider the Schrödinger operator with an inverse-square potential: $L = -\Delta - \frac{c}{|x|^2}$. The Hardy inequality guarantees that if the coupling constant c is strictly less than the optimal Hardy constant $\frac{(n-2)^2}{4}$, the operator L remains positive definite and coercive on $H_0^1(\Omega)$. This coercivity is essential for applying the Lax-Milgram theorem to prove the existence and uniqueness of weak solutions to associated boundary value problems [8]. Furthermore, Hardy-type inequalities are used to establish a priori estimates, maximum principles, and the regularity of solutions near singular points.

Computational Mathematics: In numerical analysis, singularities degrade the performance of standard discretization schemes. The Hardy inequality informs the design of finite element analysis (FEA) and finite difference methods for singular problems. By providing rigorous bounds on the behavior of functions near singularities, it aids in stability analysis and the derivation of sharp a priori and a

posteriori error estimation. Understanding the weighted norms dictated by Hardy inequalities allows numerical analysts to design graded meshes that restore optimal convergence rates in the presence of singular potentials.

4. Weighted Hardy Inequalities

The classical Hardy inequality has been vastly generalized by introducing weight functions, leading to the theory of weighted Hardy inequalities. A generalized form of the inequality is given by:

$$\int_{\Omega} w(x) |u(x)|^p dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} v(x) |\nabla u(x)|^p dx \text{ for all } u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \quad (4)$$

where $w(x)$ and $v(x)$ are positive weight functions, $p > 1$, and $C > 0$ is a constant independent of u .

The validity of this generalized inequality depends heavily on the structural properties of the weights w and v . For instance, in the one-dimensional case, the Muckenhoupt A_p condition provides a necessary and sufficient criterion for the boundedness of the Hardy operator. The comprehensive study of these weighted spaces and their embeddings is a major theme in modern functional analysis [6]. Weighted Sobolev spaces, equipped with these inequalities, are particularly useful in modeling physical phenomena where the medium's properties vary drastically, such as in fluid dynamics near boundaries or in quantum mechanics with spatially varying potentials.

5. Illustrative Example

To concretize the classical Hardy inequality and verify its validity, consider a simple one-dimensional example on the bounded interval $(0,1)$. Let $u(x) = x(1-x)$. Note that $u \in H_0^1(0,1)$ since $u(0) = u(1) = 0$ and u is smooth.

First, we compute the left-hand side (the singular weighted integral):

$$\int_0^1 \frac{|u(x)|^2}{x^2} dx = \int_0^1 \frac{x^2(1-x)^2}{x^2} dx = \int_0^1 (1-x)^2 dx = \left[-\frac{(1-x)^3}{3} \right]_0^1 = \frac{1}{3} \quad (5)$$

Next, we compute the right-hand side (the gradient/derivative integral). The derivative is

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 |u'(x)|^2 dx &= \int_0^1 (1-2x)^2 dx = \int_0^1 (1-4x+4x^2) dx = \left[x - 2x^2 + \frac{4}{3}x^3 \right]_0^1 = 1 - 2 + \frac{4}{3} \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \end{aligned}$$

Now, we apply the classical Hardy inequality Equation 1. Substituting our computed values:

$$\frac{1}{3} \leq 4 \left(\frac{1}{3} \right) \Rightarrow \frac{1}{3} \leq \frac{4}{3}$$

The inequality is strictly satisfied. This simple calculation demonstrates how the gradient norm strictly dominates the singular weighted norm, with the optimal constant 44 providing a strict upper bound.

6. Future Research Directions

While the classical and weighted theories are highly mature, the Hardy inequality continues to inspire active research in several cutting-edge directions:

Fractional Hardy Inequalities: With the growing interest in nonlocal models, extending Hardy inequalities to fractional Sobolev spaces $W^{s,p}$ is a major focus. This involves the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^s$ and requires novel techniques to handle the nonlocal nature of the operators.

Manifolds and Graphs: Generalizing these inequalities to Riemannian manifolds (where curvature plays a critical role) and discrete graphs (for network analysis and discrete PDEs) opens new avenues in geometric analysis and discrete mathematics.

Adaptive Finite Element Methods: Developing adaptive FEM algorithms that explicitly utilize Hardy-type a posteriori error estimator to dynamically resolve singularities with minimal computational cost.

Machine-Learning-Assisted PDE Solvers: Integrating Hardy inequalities into the loss functions of Physics-Informed Neural Networks (PINNs). By penalizing the violation of Hardy bounds, machine learning models can be stabilized and forced to correctly capture singular behaviors that standard neural networks typically struggle to resolve.

7. Conclusion

The classical Hardy inequality remains a fundamental and versatile analytical tool. By providing a rigorous mechanism to control singularly weighted functions via their derivatives, it forms a critical bridge between pure functional analysis and applied mathematics. Its extensions into weighted Sobolev spaces and its applications to elliptic PDEs ensure the well-posedness of complex physical models. Furthermore, as computational methods evolve to tackle increasingly singular and nonlocal problems, the theoretical insights provided by Hardy inequalities will continue to guide the development of stable, accurate, and efficient numerical schemes.

References

- [1] Hardy, G. H., Littlewood, J. E., & Pólya, G. (1952). *Inequalities* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- [2] Edmunds, D. E., & Evans, W. D. (2004). *Hardy Operators, Function Spaces and Embeddings*. Springer.
- [3] Maz'ya, V. (2011). *Sobolev Spaces: With Applications to Elliptic Partial Differential Equations* (2nd ed.). Springer.
- [4] Adams, R. A., & Fournier, J. J. F. (2003). *Sobolev Spaces* (2nd ed.). Academic Press.
- [5] Lieb, E. H., & Loss, M. (2001). *Analysis* (2nd ed.). American Mathematical Society (AMS).
- [6] Kufner, A. (1980). *Weighted Sobolev Spaces*. John Wiley & Sons / Teubner.
- [7] Ziemer, W. P. (1989). *Weakly Differentiable Functions: Sobolev Spaces and Functions of Bounded Variation*. Springer.
- [8] Gilbarg, D., & Trudinger, N. S. (2001). *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*. Springer.